DEFENDANT LYNN SPEARS' MEMO OF P&A IN OPP TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL

DEFENDANT LYNNE SPEARS' MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DOCUMENTS RE: PUNITIVE DAMAGES PURSUANT TO 1987 NOTICE

Plaintiff Sam Lutfi ("Lutfi") concedes that all of the documents he seeks in his 1987 Notice to Produce Documents at Trial to Defendant Lynne Spears ("Lynne") ("the Documents") are premised on Lutfi being able to establish at trial the factual and legal predicates entitling him to seek punitive damages in a second phase of the trial. If the verdicts are in Defendants' favor or even if Lutfi prevails on one or more of his tort claims, but he is only awarded nominal or *di minimus* compensatory damages, from a constitutional standpoint, Defendants' "net worth" may be irrelevant and the Documents would not have to be produced at all. See *State Farm Mut. Ins. Co v Campbell*, 538 U. S. 408, 426 (grossly excessive or arbitrary punishment generally exceeding a single-digit ratio between compensatory and punitive damages, violates the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment).

Consequently, it is uncontested that none of these Documents need to be produced at the outset of the trial or any time thereafter unless and until Lutfi meets his burden of proof, establishes his legal right to punitive damages, establishes his right to seek evidence of "net worth" and the jury makes the necessary findings.

In addition, the production of documents in response to Requests for Documents Nos. 6 and 7, relating to the Conservatorship of Britney Spears, will depend on whether Lutfi can establish the existence of such Documents and then overcome issues of privacy and confidentiality. Consequently, since this may require an evidentiary hearing outside the presence of the jury, Lynne requests that any rulings on her Objections to Lutfi's Notice to Produce be deferred to the end of the first phase of trial, when the matter may be entirely moot or clarified by the evidence and jury

For example, a verdict of \$1.00 justifying no more than \$1.00 in punitive damages, would hardly justify the intrusive inquiry into one's "net worth."

LYNN SPEARS' MOTION IN LIMINE #1